

www.neuraldevelopment.com



# Ascl1 is a required downstream effector of Gsx gene function in the embryonic mouse telencephalon



Bei Wang et al.

# Research article

# **Open Access**

# Ascl1 is a required downstream effector of Gsx gene function in the embryonic mouse telencephalon

Bei Wang<sup>1,3</sup>, Ronald R Waclaw<sup>1</sup>, Zegary J Allen II<sup>1</sup>, Francois Guillemot<sup>2</sup> and Kenneth Campbell<sup>\*1</sup>

Address: <sup>1</sup>Division of Developmental Biology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, 3333 Burnet Avenue, Cincinnati OH45229, USA, <sup>2</sup>Division of Molecular Neurobiology, NIMR, The Ridgeway, Mill Hill, London, UK and <sup>3</sup>Nina Ireland Laboratory of Developmental Neurobiology, Center for Neurobiology and Psychiatry, Rock Hall, UCSF 1550 4th Street, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA

Email: Bei Wang - bei.wang@ucsf.edu; Ronald R Waclaw - ronald.waclaw@cchmc.org; Zegary J Allen - zegary.allen@cchmc.org; Francois Guillemot - fguille@nimr.mrc.ac.uk; Kenneth Campbell\* - kenneth.campbell@cchmc.org

\* Corresponding author

Published: 10 February 2009

Neural Development 2009, 4:5 doi:10.1186/1749-8104-4-5

This article is available from: http://www.neuraldevelopment.com/content/4/1/5

© 2009 Wang et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 28 October 2008 Accepted: 10 February 2009

#### Abstract

**Background:** The homeobox gene Gsx2 (formerly Gsh2) is known to regulate patterning in the lateral ganglionic eminence (LGE) of the embryonic telencephalon. In its absence, the closely related gene Gsx1 (previously known as Gsh1) can partially compensate in the patterning and differentiation of ventral telencephalic structures, such as the striatum. However, the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying this compensation remain unclear.

**Results:** We show here that in the *Gsx2* mutants *Gsx1* is expressed in only a subset of the ventral telencephalic progenitors that normally express *Gsx2*. Based on the similarities in the expression of *Gsx1* and *Asc11* (Mash1) within the *Gsx2* mutant *LGE*, we examined whether *Asc11* plays an integral part in the *Gsx1*-based recovery. *Asc11* mutants show only modest alterations in striatal development; however, in *Gsx2;Asc11* double mutants, striatal development is severely affected, similar to that seen in the *Gsx2;Asc11* mutant *LGE*, comparable to that seen in the *Gsx2* mutant. Finally, Notch signaling has recently been suggested to be required for normal striatal development. In spite of the fact that Notch signaling is severely disrupted in *Asc11* mutants, it actually appears to be improved in the *Gsx2;Asc11* double mutants.

**Conclusion:** These results, therefore, reveal a non-proneural requirement of Ascl1 that together with Gsx1 compensates for the loss of Gsx2 in a subset of LGE progenitors.

#### Background

The homeobox gene *Gsx2* (formerly known as *Gsh2*) has been shown to be required for correct dorsal-ventral patterning in the embryonic mouse telencephalon [1-3]. *Gsx2* accomplishes this by repressing dorsal telencephalic genes such as *Pax6* and promoting the expression of ven-

tral regulators such as *Ascl1* (*Mash1*) and *Dlx* genes within ventricular zone (VZ) and subventricular zone (SVZ) progenitors of the lateral ganglionic eminence (LGE). Although *Gsx2* mutants do not survive after birth [4], analyses at late embryonic stages have demonstrated severe reductions in markers of striatal projection neurons

as well as olfactory bulb interneurons [1-3,5,6], both of which are derived from the LGE [7-10].

The closely related Gsx1 (Gsh1) is also expressed in the embryonic ventral telencephalon [11], although no telencephalic phenotype has been reported [5,6]. Despite this, removal of Gsx1 on the Gsx2 mutant background eliminates nearly all striatal projection neurons and olfactory bulb interneurons, suggesting that Gsx1 can, at least in part, compensate for the loss of Gsx2 in the development of these ventral telencephalic structures. This compensation, however, is complex because Gsx1 is normally only present in the medial ganglionic eminence and the ventral-most portion of the LGE. In Gsx2 mutants, Gsx1 expression spreads dorsally to encompass the mutant LGE at mid-neurogenesis time points (for example, embryonic day (E)14), which is coincident with the re-establishment of ventral identity (for example, Ascl1 and Dlx expression) in the mutant LGE [5,6]. Both Ascl1 and Dlx genes are known to be required for normal development of the striatum and olfactory bulb interneurons [12-16]. Moreover, a recent study [17] suggests that Ascl1 and Dlx genes control distinct and parallel pathways that act in the specification of olfactory bulb interneurons. The mechanism by which Gsx1 compensates for the loss of Gsx2 has not been fully elucidated. Moreover, the requirement for Ascl1 or *Dlx* genes in this process is unclear.

In this study we have examined the molecular mechanisms underlying Gsx1-mediated recovery of ventral telencephalic development in Gsx2 mutants. To do this, we have generated and analyzed  $Gsx2^{EGFP}$  mice as well as Gsx2;Ascl1 double mutants at multiple embryonic stages. Removal of Ascl1 from the Gsx2 mutant background results in a telencephalic phenotype nearly identical to the Gsx1:Gsx2 double mutant [5,6]. These results thus indicate that Ascl1 is an essential component of the Gsx1mediated recovery in a subset of LGE progenitors within the Gsx2 mutant telencephalon.

# Results

#### Gsx1 expression in the Gsx2 mutant telencephalon

Previous studies have shown that *Gsx1* expands in the LGE of the *Gsx2* mutant [3,5,6]. Normally, the cells expressing *Gsx1* are confined to the ventral-most portion of the LGE; however, in *Gsx2* mutants the expression of this gene expands throughout the entire dorsal-ventral extent of the LGE between E11 and E14. We have generated mice in which the first exon of *Gsx2* is interrupted by an IRES-enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) cassette so that EGFP is expressed in place of Gsx2. These mice appear to faithfully reproduce Gsx2 derived cells that no longer express the protein (Figure 1A–C). Moreover, the *Gsx2*EGFP/EGFP embryos lack Gsx2 protein expression, while

still delineating the portion of the LGE that the targeted *Gsx2* gene is being transcribed in by virtue of the EGFP staining (Figure 1F–H). These mutants exhibit identical patterning defects to those reported for the previously available *Gsx2* mutant allele [1-4] (data not shown). Using these mice together with an antibody that detects both *Gsx1* and *Gsx2* [18], we were able to examine the *Gsx1* recovery on a cellular level, within the context of the *Gsx2* expression domain.

While the Gsx1/2 antibody staining looks very similar to that of Gsx2 in the Gsx2<sup>EGFP/+</sup> embryos (Figure 1A-E), it reveals a rather different pattern in the Gsx2EGFP/EGFP embryos (Figure 1I, J). At E12.5, the cells expressing Gsx1 in the mutant LGE are few in number and largely confined to its ventral half. This finding is in agreement with previous Gsx1 gene expression studies [3,5]; however, the cellular resolution afforded by the immunohistochemical approach revealed that the Gsx1 cells appear mostly at the border between the VZ and SVZ (Figure 1I, J). This is different from Gsx2 expression in the wild-type LGE where cells throughout the apical-basal extent of the VZ express this protein, albeit at different levels of expression (Figure 1B). Previous studies have shown that the expansion of Gsx1 throughout the Gsx2 mutant LGE is complete between E14.5 and E16.5 [3,5,6]. This is clearly revealed by Gsx1/2 staining in the Gsx2EGFP/EGFP mutants at E16.5 (Figure 2G). At this stage, only around half the LGE cells that would normally express Gsx2 contain Gsx1 staining. Again, the majority of the Gsx1 expressing cells appear to line up at the VZ/SVZ boundary (Figure 2H). This is similar to Gsx1/2 staining in the remnant of the medial ganglionic eminence in *Gsx2*<sup>EGFP/+</sup> brains (Figure 2B), and since this staining does not coincide with the EGFP from the Gsx2 locus (Figure 2A-C), it is likely to reflect Gsx1 expression in the wild-type medial ganglionic eminence. Thus, although Gsx1 can at least partially compensate for Gsx2 [5,6], it does not do so in all cells of the LGE that would normally express Gsx2 but only in a subpopulation positioned at the VZ/SVZ boundary.

# Relationship between Gsx1 and Asc11 in the Gsx2 mutant LGE

Ascl1 (Mash1) is known to be required for the normal development of the ventral telencephalon [14-16]. Furthermore, Ascl1 is dependent on Gsx2 for its normal expression in LGE progenitor cells [1-3], at least at early stages, before Gsx1 expression expands into the mutant LGE. Ascl1 is expressed by many cells within the *Gsx2*<sup>EGFP/</sup> <sup>+</sup> VZ, although they are mainly located at the VZ/SVZ boundary (Figure 2D, E). Interestingly, the pattern of Ascl1 expression in the Gsx2 mutants is very similar to that of Gsx1 (as revealed by Gsx1/2 staining; Figure 2G–J), with scattered cells in the VZ and the majority accumulated along the VZ/SVZ boundary. In *Gsx1;Gsx2* double



#### Figure I

**Knock-in of enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) into the Gsx2 locus.** (A, B) EGFP expression in Gsx2<sup>EGFP/+</sup> embryos (A) recapitulates endogenous Gsx2 expression at E12.5 (B). **(C)** Note that EGFP expression persists longer than Gsx2 protein expression in the lateral ganglionic eminence (LGE; merged image). – (D) The Gsx1/2 antibody detects expression of Gsx1 and Gsx2 in the ventral telencephalon. (E) Note that the EGFP expression is absent in the septal expression domain of the Gsx1/2 antibody (asterisks in D, E, I, J) indicating Gsx1-specific expression. **(F-H)** Homozygous knock-in of EGFP into the Gsx2 locus (Gsx2<sup>EGFP/EGFP</sup>) (F) results in a loss of function of Gsx2 with no detectable protein expression (G). Note that the EGFP expression is more intense in the Gsx2<sup>EGFP/EGFP</sup> embryos (H) compared to the Gsx2<sup>EGFP/+</sup> embryos (A). **(I)** The initial expansion of Gsx1 into the LGE of Gsx2<sup>EGFP/EGFP</sup> embryos is detectable at E12.5 with an anti-Gsx1/2 antibody. **(J)** Gsx1 expression is not observed in all Gsx2 mutant cells of the LGE (merged image) but found largely at the VZ/SVZ boundary (arrows in I, J).

mutants, Ascl1 is not expressed in the LGE at early stages (for example, E12.5) but at later stages (for example, E15.5–16.5) it is found at low levels within the presumptive LGE region [5,6]. This suggests that although Gsx proteins are not absolutely required for Ascl1 expression in the LGE, they are positive regulators of its expression. The overlap in Gsx1 and Ascl1 expression in the *Gsx2* mutant LGE (Figure 2H, J) therefore suggests that Ascl1 may act in concert with Gsx1 for the compensation observed in *Gsx2* mutants.

#### Expression of Gsx2 in the AscII mutant

Although Ascl1 expression in LGE progenitors has been shown to require Gsx2 [1-3], the role (if any) of Ascl1 in regulating Gsx2 expression has not been reported. In E12.5 *Ascl1-/-* mutants, we found that Gsx2 (and Gsx1/2) staining in the LGE was not significantly different from that observed in wild types (Figure 3A, D and data not shown). Conversely, at E18.5 we observed a large increase in the numbers of cells expressing Gsx2 along the dorsalventral aspect of the VZ in the *Ascl1* mutants and in certain cases clusters of Gsx2 expressing cells were found in the forming striatum (Figure 3E). The expression of Gsx2 coincided with Ki67 staining in many of these clusters (Figure 3E, F) on closely adjacent sections, suggesting that despite their ectopic location, these Gsx2 cells may remain in the cell cycle. *Gsx1* expression was not changed in the LGE of *Ascl1* mutants [19] (data not shown). These findings could indicate that, in addition to being downstream of *Gsx* genes, *Ascl1* may also serve a negative feedback function to repress Gsx2 in LGE progenitors, particularly at late embryonic stages.

#### **Gsx2;Ascl1** *double mutants exhibit severe striatal defects* To examine the possibility that Ascl1 is required for the Gsx1-mediated recovery observed in the *Gsx2* mutant, we generated *Gsx2;Ascl1* double homozygous mutants and analyzed the striatum at E18.5. The expression of FoxP1 can be used to mark striatal neurons and, thus, the forming striatum at this stage [20,21]. Staining for this marker



Expansion of Gsx1 in the Gsx2<sup>EGFP/EGFP</sup> lateral ganglionic eminence (LGE) occurs in only a subset of cells at the ventricular zone (VZ)/subventricular zone (SVZ) border. (A-C) Control embryos ( $Gsx2^{EGFP/+}$ ) express Gsx2 and enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) throughout the VZ of the LGE at E16.5. In addition, the Gsx1/2 antibody labels scattered cells in the SVZ (B) where EGFP expression is observed in the majority of the SVZ (A, C). Asterisks in (A, C) mark Gsx1/2 staining in the remnant of the medial ganglionic eminence. Because EGFP expression from the Gsx2 locus is not found in this region, it is likely that the staining reflects Gsx1 expression in Gsx2<sup>EGFP/EGFP</sup> embryos is observed only at the VZ/SVZ border in the LGE at E16.5. (F, H) Note that Gsx1 expression in  $Gsx2^{EGFP/EGFP}$  embryos is observed only at the VZ/SVZ border in the LGE (arrows in merged image in (H)) whereas EGFP expression (labeling Gsx2 mutant cells) is observed throughout the VZ and the SVZ (F, H). (D, E) Control embryos ( $Gsx2^{EGFP/+}$ ) express Ascl1 at highest levels near the VZ/SVZ border (D) and only in scattered cells of the VZ (merged image with EGFP in (E)). (I) By E16.5, Ascl1 is recovered in the LGE of  $Gsx2^{EGFP/}$ 

shows that the size of the striatum in *Gsx2* mutants is severely reduced compared to wild types (Figure 4A, B), which is consistent with previous studies [1-3,5,6]. Unlike the *Gsx2* mutants, however, *Ascl1* mutants exhibit more subtle defects in striatal development [14] and, accordingly, showed a more modest reduction in FoxP1 expression (Figure 4C). Interestingly, the *Gsx2;Ascl1* double mutants showed an even more severe reduction in FoxP1 staining than the *Gsx2* mutants (Figure 4D), indicating that only a rudimentary striatum is present in these brains.

The striatum is composed of two anatomically and neurochemically distinct compartments termed the patch and matrix [22]. The striatum-enriched phosphoprotein DARPP-32 has been shown to mark the forming patch compartment at perinatal time points [23] (Figure 4E). DARPP-32 is severely reduced in the *Gsx2* mutant striatum (Figure 4F) [1,2,5,24] while its expression was only moderately reduced in the *Ascl1* mutants (Figure 4G). Interestingly, no DARPP-32-positive neurons were observed in the Gsx2;Ascl1 double mutant striatum (Figure 4H), a finding that is identical to that previously observed in the Gsx1;Gsx2 double mutant striatum [5]. Calbindin is known to mark the matrix compartment in the mature striatum [22]. As previously reported [5], calbindin expression is increased in the forming Gsx2 mutant striatum (Figure 4J) while a clear reduction in its expression was seen in the Ascl1 mutant striatum (Figure 4K). The rudimentary striatum present in the Gsx2;Ascl1 double mutant striatum did express calbindin (Figure 4L). Again, this was similar to that previously observed in the Gsx1;Gsx2 double mutant striatum [5]. Thus, the similarities in the phenotypes observed in the Gsx2;Ascl1 and Gsx1;Gsx2 double mutants suggest that Ascl1 is required for the Gsx1-based striatal recovery in Gsx2 mutants.

In order to determine whether Ascl1 is required downstream of Gsx1 in a Gsx2 mutant, we examined the expres-



Increase in progenitor cell markers in the lateral ganglionic eminence (LGE) of AscII mutants. (A, D) At E12.5, AscII mutants express Gsx2 in a relatively normal pattern in the LGE compared to wild type. Note the odd morphology in the developing medial ganglionic eminence (MGE) of AscII mutants (asterisk in (D)). (B, E) By E18.5, Gsx2 protein expression is increased in the entire LGE region of AscII mutants (E) compared to controls (B). These ectopic Gsx2 positive cells are observed in the SVZ and striatum, many appearing as clumps of cells stuck in the parenchyma (arrow in (E)). (C, F) Dividing cells labeled by Ki67 expression are also increased in the AscII mutant LGE area (F) compared to control (C). Similar to Gsx2, many ectopic Ki67 positive cells appear as clumps (arrow in (F)).

sion of Gsx1 in *Gsx2;Ascl1* double mutants. If Ascl1 is required for Gsx1 to expand throughout the *Gsx2* mutant LGE, then the similarities in the *Gsx2;Ascl1* and *Gsx1;Gsx2* double mutant phenotypes would be easily explained by the lack of Gsx1 in LGE progenitors. However, this is not the case, because we observed both *Gsx1* gene expression and Gsx1/2 staining in the *Gsx2;Ascl1* double mutant LGE (Figure 5C, F). Indeed, the level and extent of this expression was very similar to that seen in the *Gsx2* mutant (Fig-

ure 5B, E). This allows us to conclude that Ascl1 acts downstream of Gsx1 in the *Gsx2* mutant LGE.

#### Olfactory bulb defects in Gsx2;Ascl1 double mutants

Unlike striatal neurons that are largely produced at embryonic stages, olfactory bulb interneurons are generated over a protracted period, starting around E14 and with the majority produced during the first 2 weeks after birth [25]. Since *Gsx2* mutants die shortly after birth [4],



Figure 4 (see legend on next page)

#### Figure 4 (see previous page)

**Removal of Ascl1 on the Gsx2 mutant background exacerbates the Gsx2 mutant phenotype in the striatum. (A)** FoxP1 expression labels striatal projection neurons at E18.5. (**B**) In Gsx2 mutants, the expression domain of FoxP1 in the striatum is severely reduced. (**D**) Removal of Ascl1 on the Gsx2 mutant background (Gsx2;Ascl1 double mutant) results in a more severe effect on the FoxP1 expression domain compared to Gsx2 mutants (compare (D) to (B)). (**C**) Ascl1 mutants display relatively normal expression of FoxP1 in the striatum. (**E-G**) Gsx2 mutants also exhibit a severe reduction in DARPP-32 expression (F), which is enriched in early born striatal neurons at E18.5 in controls (E) and Ascl1 mutants (G). (**H**) Gsx2;Ascl1 double mutants display a more severe phenotype in DARPP-32 expression compared to Gsx2 mutants (compare (H) to (F)). Note that Gsx2;Ascl1 double mutants display a complete loss of DARPP-32 positive neurons in the striatum (H). The only DARPP-32 staining observed in the double mutant striatum is in fibers (arrow in (H)), which presumably arise from the cortical DARPP-32 expressing neurons. (**I**, **J**, **L**) Calbindin (CB) expression labels the later born striatal neurons at E18.5 (I) and is upregulated in the SVZ of Gsx2 mutants (J) and Gsx2;Ascl1 double mutants (L). (**K**) Ascl1 mutants exhibit a noticeable reduction in CB expression in the striatum. ac, anterior commissure.

only the olfactory bulb interneurons that are generated at embryonic stages can be assayed. Previous studies [1,3,5,6] have shown that Gsx2 mutants exhibit defects in the development of these neurons at birth. The olfactory bulb interneurons produced at embryonic time points have been suggested to originate, at least in part, from the dorsal (d)LGE [26]. We have recently shown that the zinc finger transcription factor Sp8 marks the dLGE as well as olfactory bulb interneurons [27] (Figure 6A, E). In Gsx2 mutants, the number of Sp8 expressing cells is dramatically reduced in both the dLGE and olfactory bulb (Figure 6B, F) [27]. Although Ascl1 mutants have been shown to have olfactory bulb interneuron defects [14,28], the expression of Sp8 in these mutants is not reduced in the dLGE at E15.5 [17]; rather, it appears as if more cells are seen in this region streaming laterally towards the ventrolateral telencephalon by E18.5 (Figure 6C). Moreover, there appear to be similar numbers of Sp8-positive cells within the Ascl1 mutant olfactory bulb compared to wild type, although their distribution appears somewhat disorganized (Figure 6G). Conversely, in the Gsx2;Ascl1 double mutants the expression of Sp8 is reduced, even when compared to the Gsx2 mutants (Figure 6B, D). Indeed, most sections of the double mutant olfactory bulb lack any Sp8positive cells (Figure 6H).

Sp8 is required for normal development of the calretinin (CR)-expressing subtype of olfactory bulb interneurons [27]. In addition to the dLGE, the septum has also been suggested to give rise to olfactory bulb interneurons [29], and more recent results suggest that the septum may also represent a region where the CR interneurons originate [30,31]. Indeed, CR positive neurons can be seen in the wild-type dLGE and even more so in the septum at E18.5 (Figure 7A) as well as in the forming glomerular layer of the olfactory bulb (Figure 7E). As might be predicted from the Sp8 staining, the *Gsx2* mutants showed reductions in CR interneurons (Figure 7B, F), while the *Ascl1* mutants did not appear to exhibit reduced numbers of CR positive cells (Figure 7G) and at least in portions of the dLGE may

even contain increased numbers of these cells (Figure 7C). Furthermore, the Gsx2;Ascl1 double mutants showed a more severe reduction in CR staining than the Gsx2 mutants (Figure 7D, H). Previous studies [1,3,5,6,14,28] have shown that Gsx2 (Figure 7J) and Ascl1 mutants (Figure 7K) exhibit reductions in glutamic acid decarboxylase (67 kDa) (GAD<sub>67</sub>)-positive olfactory bulb interneurons  $(GAD_{67}$  is a rate limiting enzyme in GABA production). These appear to be compounded in the *Gsx2;Ascl1* double mutants where essentially no GAD<sub>67</sub>-positive cells were observed in the olfactory bulb at this time point (Figure 7L). Taken together, these data suggest that Ascl1 functions downstream of Gsx2 to regulate aspects of olfactory bulb interneuron diversity. Indeed, it appears that Gsx2 is required for many, if not all, of the interneuron subtypes to be properly generated, while Ascl1 is more crucial for the generation of  $GAD_{67}$  (that is, GABAergic) and dopaminergic interneurons [28].

#### Notch signaling in Gsx2;Ascl1 double mutants

Previous studies have shown that Notch signaling is required for normal LGE/striatal development [16,32]. Moreover, Ascl1 mutants exhibit reduced Notch signaling [14,16]. It is possible, therefore, that the phenotypes observed in the Gsx2;Ascl1 double mutants are a result of compound effects of a loss of Notch signaling together with distinct Gsx2 requirements. To address this, we examined the expression of factors in the Notch signaling pathway, Ngn2, Dll1 and Hes5, in relation to Gsx1/2 expression. In Gsx2 mutants, Ngn2 was shifted ventrally into the LGE as previously described (Figure 8F) [1-3], although it appeared to be directly abutting the ventrally shifted Gsx1/2 staining (Figure 8B). Indeed, both Dll1 (Figure 8J) and Hes5 (Figure 8N) were continuously expressed throughout the Gsx2 mutant LGE. In the Ascl1 mutants, Gsx1/2 staining was present up to the normal pallio-subpallial boundary (Figures 3A and 8C) and Ngn2 staining abutted it at its normal ventral position (compare Figure 8E and 8G). This theoretically leaves no proneural gene expression in the LGE and, in fact, both Dll1 (Figure



**Gsx1 expands throughout the Gsx2;Ascl1 mutant lateral ganglionic eminence (LGE). (A-C)** Gsx1 expression is barely present in the E16.5 wild type LGE (A) but appears to be expressed similarly in Gsx2 (B) and Gsx2;Ascl1 mutant (C) LGEs. **(D-F)** The Gsx1/2 antibody can be used in Gsx2 mutants to visualize Gsx1 protein expression and not only is gene expression expanded in the mutants compared to wild type (D) but Gsx1 protein is found in a similar pattern in the Gsx2 mutant (E) and Gsx2;Ascl1 mutant (F) LGEs. The Gsx1/2 staining in the wild type mostly reflects Gsx2 expression since very little Gsx1 expression in seen in the wild type LGE (A).

8K) and *Hes5* (Figure 8O) staining was absent, as previously described [14]. This is not the case in *Gsx2;Ascl1* double mutants, where Ngn2 was observed to extend ventrally into the double mutant LGE and improvement in *Dll1* (Figure 8L) and *Hes5* (Figure 8P) expression was

observed, at least within the presumptive LGE region, compared to *Ascl1* mutants. This indicates that the Notch signaling defects observed in *Ascl1* mutants are, in part, due to Gsx2 expression remaining in the LGE. Thus, it appears that Ascl1 performs a non-proneural function in



**Gsx2;Ascl1** double mutants exhibit a more severe phenotype in the formation of the dorsal lateral ganglionic eminence (dLGE) and the generation of olfactory bulb interneurons. (A, B) The Sp8 expression domain in the dLGE is reduced in Gsx2 mutants (B) compared to controls (A). (D) Gsx2;Ascl1 double mutants exhibit a more severe reduction in the expression of Sp8 in the dLGE (D) compared to Gsx2 mutants (B). (C) Ascl1 mutants maintain Sp8 expression in the dLGE and may have a slightly expanded expression domain. (E, F) Sp8 expressing interneurons are reduced in Gsx2 mutant olfactory bulb (F) compared to controls (E). (H) Gsx2;Ascl1 mutant displays nearly a complete loss of Sp8 expression in the olfactory bulb. (G) Sp8 expression is observed in Ascl1 mutant olfactory bulbs, but in a slightly disorganized pattern (compare (G) to (E)). gl, glomerular layer.

the Gsx1-mediated recovery observed in the Gsx2 mutant. Interestingly, it also seems that *Ascl1* plays a role in the timing of the Gsx1 expansion into the Gsx2 mutant LGE as the Gsx2;*Ascl1* double mutants showed much less Gsx1 (as marked by Gsx1/2 staining) expression in the presumptive LGE at E12.5 (Figure 8D) when compared to later time points (for example, E16.5; Figure 5C, F).

#### Discussion

The study of knock-out mice is essentially an investigation into the compensatory mechanisms (or lack thereof) when any given gene is inactivated. In the case of Gsx2, it has previously been shown that Gsx1 is involved in the partial recovery observed in these mutants [5,6]. What remained unclear was why the Gsx1-dependent compensation was not more effective in restoring normal development. In addition to a delayed upregulation of *Gsx1* in the *Gsx2* mutant LGE [5,6], we provide novel data here showing that Gsx1 is expressed only in a subset of LGE cells that would normally express Gsx2. Interestingly, these cells are largely located at the boundary between the VZ and SVZ, similar in location to that of Ascl1 expressing cells. Based on the facts that the striatal phenotype of the *Gsx2;Ascl1* mutants is nearly identical to that observed in *Gsx1;Gsx2* mutants [5,6] and that Gsx1 expands in the *Gsx2;Ascl1* mutants in a similar way to that observed in *Gsx2* mutants, we conclude that *Ascl1* is required downstream of *Gsx1* for this recovery. These findings suggest that there



Olfactory bulb interneuron subtype specification in Gsx2, AscII and Gsx2;AscII mutants. (A-H) Calretinin (CR) staining in the dLGE (A-D) and olfactory bulb (E-H) in E18.5 wildtype (A, E), Gsx2 mutant (B, F), AscII mutant (C, G) and Gsx2;AscII mutants (D, H). Note that CR cells in the dLGE and olfactory bulb are severely depleted in Gsx2 and Gsx2;AscII mutants while there appear to be similar if not more numbers of CR neurons in the AscII mutants compared to wild type. (J, L) GAD<sub>67</sub> (glutamic acid decarboxylase (67 kDa)) staining is severely reduced in Gsx2 mutants (J) and nearly absent in Gsx2;AscII mutants (L). (I, K) In comparison, AscII mutants (K) show a more modest reduction in GAD<sub>67</sub> staining but it is still quite severe when compared to the wild-type olfactory bulb (I). GCL, granule cell layer; GL, glomerular layer.



Figure 8 (see legend on next page)

#### Figure 8 (see previous page)

Notch signaling in the lateral ganglionic eminence (LGE) of Gsx2;Ascl1 mutants is improved from that in Ascl1 mutants. (A)\_Gsx1/2 staining in the E12.5 wild type ventral telencephalon. (B, C) Gsx1/2 staining illustrates the expansion of Gsx1 in the Gsx2 mutant LGE (B) as well as the expression of Gsx proteins in the Ascl1 mutant LGE (C); arrows point to the dorsal limit of Gsx expression. (D) Note that the Gsx1 expansion is delayed in Gsx2;Ascl1 mutants at this stage but, as shown earlier, this recovers at later stages. (E, F, H) The proneural protein Ngn2 is normally expressed in pallial progenitors (E) but in the Gsx2 (F) and Gsx2;Ascl1 mutants (H) the ventral limit of Ngn2 expression (arrows in (F, H)) has expanded ventrally into the mutant LGE. (G) In contrast, the ventral limit of Ngn2 expression in Ascl1 mutants (arrow) appears to be similar to that in wild type (E). (I-P) The status of Notch signaling can be assessed by the expression of DII1 and Hes5. In wild types, DII1 (I) and Hes5 (N) are expression only in the dorsal telencephalon (K, O) corresponding with Ngn2 expression. Although the Gsx2;Ascl1 mutants do not show DII1 (L) and Hes5 (P) expression in the ventral-most telencephalon (that is, medial ganglionic eminence (MGE) remnant indicated by asterisk) these Notch effectors are expressed in the mutant LGE progenitors unlike the case in Ascl1 mutants. Asterisks in (C, D, G, H, K, L, O, P) indicate the remnant of the MGE.

are *Ascl1*-dependent and *Ascl1*-independent pathways for LGE development. This is in agreement with recent studies by Long *et al.* [17,19] showing that Dlx1/2 and Ascl1 regulate parallel and overlapping pathways in LGE specification. Furthermore, our results indicate that the *Ascl1*-dependent pathway for LGE specification appears to be independent of its well-known role in regulating the Notch signaling pathway.

The mechanism by which Gsx1 is upregulated in the Gsx2 mutant LGE has been unclear. It does not appear that Gsx2 represses Gsx1 expression because only a subset of the cells that normally express Gsx2, particularly those at the VZ/SVZ boundary, are Gsx1-positive in the Gsx2 mutant LGE. It seems possible, therefore, that Gsx1 can only be expressed in certain cell types or in cells that have reached a particular level of maturation (that is, cells transitioning from the VZ to the SVZ). Indeed, it appears that Gsx1-positive cells in the medial ganglionic eminence region also reside largely in the VZ/SVZ boundary region (for example, Figure 2C). Interestingly, at early stages (that is, E12.5) in the Gsx2 mutants, the LGE SVZ does not form, and only after Gsx1 has expanded throughout the mutant LGE (that is, by E14-15) does the it do so in this mutant [2,3,5]. Together with the current findings, these results suggest that Gsx1 may be expressed in more mature progenitors and might even play a role in the maturation process.

Ascl1 has previously been implicated in the development of the striatum and olfactory bulb interneurons [14-17,28]. In general, however, the requirement for Ascl1 in striatal and olfactory bulb development is not as great as that for Gsx2. In fact, the striatum of the *Ascl1* mutant is only slightly reduced in size when compared to the wild type [14] (Figure 4). Moreover, the reduction in dopaminergic and GABAergic olfactory bulb interneurons [28] is not as severe as that observed in *Gsx2* mutants [5,6].

Although striatal development is only modestly affected by the loss of Ascl1, we show here that the added loss of Gsx2 results in a nearly complete loss of striatal development. This result is identical to that previously reported for Gsx1;Gsx2 double mutants [5,6]. Thus, Ascl1 is absolutely essential for the Gsx1-mediated recovery observed in Gsx2 mutants. While Ascl1 appears to be downstream of Gsx2 [1-3], the relationship between Gsx1 and Ascl1 appears to be more complex. The loss of Gsx1 and Gsx2 severely depletes the expression of Ascl1 throughout embryogenesis [5,6], suggesting that both are genetically upstream; however, our findings here also demonstrate a delay in the expression of Gsx1 in Gsx2;Ascl1 double mutants at early stages (for example, Figure 8D), potentially implicating Ascl1 in feedback regulation of Gsx1 expression.

Ascl1 is a known regulator of the Notch signaling pathway [14,16] and Notch signaling has previously been implicated in controlling striatal development [16,32]. It does not seem that the striatal defects observed in the Gsx2;Ascl1 double mutants, described here, are simply due to compound effects of the loss of Gsx2 and impaired Notch signaling because we observed an improvement in Notch signaling (as indicated by Hes5 and Dll1 expression) within LGE progenitors of the Gsx2;Ascl1 double mutants compared to Ascl1 mutants. Our interpretation of this result is that Gsx2;Ascl1 mutants are similar to Gsx2 mutants in that Ngn2 is allowed to expand ventrally into the LGE and, as a result, Notch signaling is improved. Clearly, Ascl1 plays a role in regulating Notch signaling within LGE progenitors [14,16]; however, the fact that striatal development is not more severely affected in the Ascl1 mutant could suggest that Gsx2 normally works through another gene encoding a basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) factor to regulate aspects of LGE neurogenesis.

A somewhat surprising finding that we observed in the *Ascl1* mutants was that Gsx2 expression appeared to be increased at perinatal stages. This is not the case at early time points (for example, E12.5) and suggests that Ascl1 may play a role in depleting the Gsx2 progenitors during embryogenesis. The increased Gsx2 in the *Ascl1* mutant LGE correlated well with the expression of Sp8, a zinc finger transcription factor that has previously been shown to be dependent on Gsx2 expression [27].

Previous studies have described a reduction in dopaminergic and GABAergic interneurons in the Ascl1 mutants [14,28]; however, no data on other subtypes have been provided. We show here that unlike the dopaminergic and GABAergic subtypes, the CR interneurons are not reduced and may, in fact, be increased. The neurotransmitter of this subtype remains somewhat unclear. Recent reports suggests that as few as 14% are GABAergic [33], while others suggest that most if not all are GABAergic [30,34]. Our data seem to support the former possibility (at least at this stage of development) since the reduction in GABAergic neurons (as marked by GAD<sub>67</sub>) is not paralleled by CRpositive cells in the Ascl1 mutant olfactory bulb. We have recently shown that the zinc finger transcription factor Sp8 is required for the normal development of the CR interneurons in the olfactory bulb [27]. Accordingly, we found that in Ascl1 mutants at late stages of development, Sp8 staining is maintained in the dLGE and olfactory bulbs. Because Gsx2 is required for Sp8 expression in the dLGE and the latter is essential for normal CR interneuron production, it seems likely that the sequential expression of these two transcription factors cooperate to generate this interneuron subtype. However, despite that Gsx2 appears to function upstream of Ascl1, this bHLH factor does not actively promote CR interneuron development.

The origin of distinct subtypes of olfactory bulb interneurons has recently been the subject of considerable attention. Kohwi et al. [30] have recently suggested that CR interneurons arise from pallial and septal regions but not the dLGE. On the other hand, De Marchis et al. [35] found that these interneurons were generated from the postnatal region of the SVZ that directly derives from the dLGE. In support of this, Merkle et al. [31] showed that at least some CR neurons are derived from the rostral dorsal SVZ (a likely derivative of the dLGE). Although CR interneurons start to be produced at embryonic time points, a recent study by Batista-Brito et al. [36] have shown that most are generated at postnatal time points. Our data show that at least a few CR neurons are present in the late embryonic dLGE and that Ascl1 mutants appear to exhibit enhanced CR neuron production in the dLGE and possibly olfactory bulb. Thus, Ascl1 may play a role in the temporal regulation of CR interneuron production from the dLGE and its SVZ derivatives. In any case, our findings clearly demonstrate that the dLGE is a significant source of CR interneurons that are generated at embryonic time points; however, we cannot exclude the contribution of the septum in the generation of these interneurons as shown by Merkle *et al.* [31], particularly at early postnatal stages. Indeed, Gsx2 is expressed at high levels in both the dLGE as well as in the dorsal portion of the septum (Figure 2) and CR staining in the septal region is also lost in the Gsx2 mutant (Figure 7). Regardless of their origin, it seems that all subtypes of olfactory bulb interneurons, at least at embryonic time points, require Gsx2 for their normal production.

# Conclusion

Our data show that Gsx1 compensates for the loss of Gsx2 gene function in only a subpopulation of the LGE progenitors that normally express Gsx2, which may explain why the compensation is not more complete. Additionally, we show that Ascl1 is an obligate factor for Gsx1 in the recovery process and that this is independent of its well-known proneural function.

# Methods

*Gsx2* [4] and *Ascl1* [37] mice were genotyped as previously described [2,14]. Interbreeding between *Gsx2* and *Ascl1* heterozygotes was performed to generate *Ascl1;Gsx2* double heterozygotes, which were subsequently crossed to generate *Ascl1;Gsx2* double homozygous mutants.

Gsx2<sup>EGFP</sup> knock-in mice were generated by inserting an IRES-EGFP-pA cassette (Clonetech, Mountain View, CA, USA) into the first exon of Gsx2 between the NotI and NcoI sites (Figure 9A). Specifically, a 9-kb genomic fragment encompassing the Gsx2 locus was isolated by a HindIII digest of a Gsx2-positive 129 BAC and subcloned into the HindIII site of pBluescript SK (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). The targeting vector backbone was previously described in Bell et al. [38]. A 6.4-kb Nco1/Spe1 fragment from the 9-kb Gsx2 genomic region was blunted and subcloned into the Hpa1 site of cre/lox targeting vector to be used as the 3' homology arm. A 1-kb HindIII/Not1 fragment from the 9-kb Gsx2 genomic region was blunted and subcloned into the Sma1 site of pBluescript SK (called 5'arm-PBS). The pIRES2-EGFP vector (Clonetech) was digested with AflII, blunted, and redigested with Nhe1 to release IRES-EGFP. IRES-EGFP was cloned into 5'arm-PBS, which was digested with Sal1, blunted, and redigested with Spe1 (5'arm-EGFP-PBS). The 5'arm-IRES-EGFP was released with a Xho1 digest that was blunted and subcloned into the *Pme*1 site of the cre/lox targeting vector. The Gsx2EGFP vector was linearized with Sal1 and electroporated in W4 embryonic stem (ES) cells (reviewed in [39]) and selected with G418 and gancyclovir. Correctly targeted cells were identified by PCR (Figure 9A, B) using the following primer pairs to generate products spe-



**Targeting scheme to generate the**  $Gsx2^{EGFP}$ **knock-in allele. (A)** Using homologous recombination in embryonic stem cells an IRES-enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) cassette was inserted in the first exon of the Gsx2 between an *Nco1* and *Not1* site. This removed 125 bp of the coding region of the first exon but left the exon-intron structure intact. The polyA signal at the end of the IRES-EGFP cassette effectively terminated the message and, as shown in Figure 1, no Gsx2 protein is observed when the  $Gsx2^{EGFP}$  allele is bred to homozygosity. The Neomycin (Neo) cassette was removed by breeding the mice with  $\beta$ -actin-Flpase mice [38]. These  $Gsx2^{EGFP}$  minus Neo mice were exclusively used in this study. **(B)** Correctly targeted embryonic stem cells were identified using the primers indicated as half arrows in (A). **(C)** Embryos are genotyped using primers to detect the  $Gsx2^{EGFP}$  allele and using Gsx2 primers that include one sequence in the deleted region of the first exon. M, DNA marker; pd, primer dimmer.

cific for the correctly targeted Gsx2EGFP/+ allele: internal primer 1 (5'-cctccgcttctgttgtgact-3') with internal primer 2 (5'-cctaggaatgctcgtcaagaag-3'), which gave an 837 bp (5'product, and an external primer cctccactacaaggccacatac3') with internal primer 2, which generated a 1,170 bp product, specific for the correctly targeted Gsx2<sup>EGFP/+</sup> allele. Two different targeted ES cell lines were used for blastocyst injection by the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center transgenic facility. Germline transmission was tested by crossing the chimeras with C57/B6 mice to obtain agouti offspring. F1 Gsx2<sup>EGFP/+</sup> mice were bred to  $\beta$ -actin-FLPe (enhanced Flpase) mice [40] obtained from Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, which resulted in the Neomyocin cassette flanked by FLP recombinase target (FRT) sites to be removed (Figure 9A). Embryos derived from Gsx2<sup>EGFP/+</sup>crosses were genotyped with the following primers: internal 2 (5'-cctaggaatgctcgtcaagaag-3') with Gsx2 int5A (5'-catcaccatcaccagcccc-3'), which generated a 225 bp product specific for the knockin allele; and Gsx2-Int5B (5'-ccacggagattccactgcc 3') with Gsx2-1437 (5'-gcatccaccccaaatctcagtc-3'), which generated a 298 bp product specific for the Gsx2 wild-type allele (Figure 9C). The Gsx2-Int5b primer binds in the deleted region of exon 1 before the Nco1 site so homozygous mutants *Gsx2*<sup>EGFP/EGFP</sup> do not have a wild-type band.

For staging of embryos, the morning of vaginal plug detection was designated as E0.5. At least three embryos of each genotype were examined for every stage studied and marker used. Embryos were fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4 °C, rinsed extensively in phosphate-buffered saline and cryoprotected in 30% sucrose before sectioning at  $12-14 \mu m$  on a cryostat. Sections were thawmounted onto SuperFrost\*/Plus slides (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) and stored at -20 °C until used.

For immunohistochemistry, primary antibodies were used at the following concentrations: rabbit anti-Ascl1 (Mash1; 1:1,000; provided by J Johnson); rabbit anti-calbindin (1:2,500; provided by P Emson); goat anti-calretinin (1:2,000; Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA); rabbit anti-Dll (pan DLX; 1:400; provided by J Khotz); rabbit anti-FoxP1 (1:4,000; provided by E Morissey); rabbit anit-GAD<sub>67</sub> (1:1,000; Millipore); goat anti-GFP (1:5,000; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA); rabbit anti-Gsx2 (1:5,000; [2]); rabbit anti-Gsx1/2 (1:2,000; provided by M Goulding); rabbit anti-Ki67 (1:1,000; Novocastra, Newcastle, UK); rabbit anti-Ngn2 (1:1,000; provided by M Nakafuku); rabbit anti-Sp8 (1:500; [26]). The secondary antibodies for brightfield staining were biotinylated swine anti-rabbit antibodies (1:200; DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark) and biotinylated horse anti-goat antibodies (1:200; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA). For visualization, the ABC kit (Vector Laboratories) followed by diaminobenzidine (DAB; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) as the final chromogen were utilized. The secondary antibodies for fluorescent staining were donkey anti-goat antibodies conjugated to Cy2 (Jackson Immunoresearch, West Grove, PA, USA), and donkey anti-rabbit antibodies conjugated to Cy3 (Jackson Immunoresearch).

*In situ* hybrization histochemistry was performed using digoxygenin-labeled cRNA probes as described in Toresson *et al.* [41]. Probes used were *Gsx1* [5], *Hes5* and *Dll1* [14].

# **Abbreviations**

bHLH: basic helix-loop-helix; CR: calretinin; E: embryonic day; ES: embryonic stem; dLGE: dorsal lateral ganglionic eminence; EGFP: enhanced green fluorescent protein; GAD<sub>67</sub>: glutamic acid decarboxylase (67 kDa); LGE: lateral ganglionic eminence; SVZ: subventricular zone; VZ: ventricular zone.

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

# **Authors' contributions**

BW generated the *Gsx2<sup>EGFP</sup>* mice and carried out most of the experiments. RRW helped generate and characterize the *Gsx2<sup>EGFP</sup>* mice. ZJA helped with some of the immunohistochemistry experiments. FG provided the *Ascl1* mice and helped conceive the experiments. KC supervised the studies and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and commented on the manuscript.

# Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the kind gifts of antibodies and probes from P Emson, M Goulding, J Johnson, J Kohtz, E Morrisey and M Nakafuku. This work was supported by the NIH grants NS044080 and MH069643 to KC and by the HFSPO grant RG160-2000B to FG and KC. RRW is supported by an NIH training grant (HD046387) and ZJA is supported by an NIH NRSA (DC008928).

# References

- Corbin JG, Gaiano N, Machold RP, Langston A, Fishell G: The Gsh2 homeodomain gene controls multiple aspects of telencephalic development. Development 2000, 127:5007-5020.
- Toresson H, Potter SS, Campbell K: Genetic control of dorsalventral identity in the telencephalon: opposing roles for Pax6 and Gsh2. Development 2000, 127:4361-4371.
- Yun K, Potter S, Rubenstein JL: Gsh2 and Pax6 play complementary roles in dorsoventral patterning of the mammalian telencephalon. Development 2001, 128:193-205.
- Szucsik JC, Witte DP, Li H, Pixley SK, Small KM, Potter SS: Altered forebrain and hindbrain development in mice mutant for the Gsh-2 homeobox gene. Dev Biol 1997, 191:230-242.
- Toresson H, Campbell K: A role for Gsh1 in the developing striatum and olfactory bulb of Gsh2 mutant mice. Development 2001, 128:4769-4780.
- 6. Yun K, Garel S, Fischman S, Rubenstein JL: Patterning of the lateral ganglionic eminence by the Gsh1 and Gsh2 homeobox genes regulates striatal and olfactory bulb histogenesis and the growth of axons through the basal ganglia. J Comp Neurol 2003, 461:151-165.
- 7. Deacon TW, Pakzaban P, Isacson O: The lateral ganglionic eminence is the origin of cells committed to striatal phenotypes:

neural transplantation and developmental evidence. Brain Res 1994, 668:211-219.

- Olsson M, Campbell K, Wictorin K, Bjorklund A: Projection neurons in fetal striatal transplants are predominantly derived from the lateral ganglionic eminence. Neuroscience 1995, 69:1169-1182.
- 9. Olsson M, Bjorklund A, Campbell K: Early specification of striatal projection neurons and interneuronal subtypes in the lateral and medial ganglionic eminence. *Neuroscience* 1998, 84:867-876.
- 10. Wichterle H, Turnbull DH, Nery S, Fishell G, Alvarez-Buylla A: *In utero fate mapping reveals distinct migratory pathways and fates of neurons born in the mammalian basal forebrain. Development* 2001, **128**:3759-3771.
- Valerius MT, Li H, Stock JL, Weinstein M, Kaur S, Singh G, Potter SS: Gsh-1: a novel murine homeobox gene expressed in the central nervous system. Dev Dyn 1995, 203:337-351.
- Anderson SA, Qiu M, Bulfone A, Eisenstat DD, Meneses J, Pedersen R, Rubenstein JL: Mutations of the homeobox genes DIx-1 and DIx-2 disrupt the striatal subventricular zone and differentiation of late born striatal neurons. *Neuron* 1997, 19:27-37.
- Bulfone A, Wang F, Hevner R, Anderson S, Cutforth T, Chen S, Meneses J, Pedersen R, Axel R, Rubenstein JL: An olfactory sensory map develops in the absence of normal projection neurons or GABAergic interneurons. Neuron 1998, 21:1273-1282.
- 14. Casarosa S, Fode C, Guillemot F: Mash1 regulates neurogenesis in the ventral telencephalon. Development 1999, 126:525-534.
- Horton S, Meredith A, Richardson JA, Johnson JE: Correct coordination of neuronal differentiation events in ventral forebrain requires the bHLH factor MASHI. Mol Cell Neurosci 1999, 14:355-369.
- 16. Yun K, Fischman S, Johnson J, Hrabe de Angelis M, Weinmaster G, Rubenstein JL: Modulation of the notch signaling by Mash I and Dlx1/2 regulates sequential specification and differentiation of progenitor cell types in the subcortical telencephalon. Development 2002, 129:5029-5040.
- Long JE, Garel S, Alvarez-Dolado M, Yoshikawa K, Osumi N, Alvarez-Buylla A, Rubenstein JL: Dlx-dependent and – independent regulation of olfactory bulb interneuron differentiation. J Neurosci 2007, 27:3230-3243.
- Kriks S, Lanuza GM, Mizuguchi R, Nakafuku M, Goulding M: Gsh2 is required for the repression of Ngn1 and specification of dorsal interneuron fate in the spinal cord. *Development* 2005, 132:2991-3002.
- Long JE, Swan C, Liang WS, Cobos I, Potter GB, Rubenstein JL: DIx1&2 and Mash1 transcription factors control striatal patterning and differentiation through parallel and overlapping pathways. J Comp Neurol 2009, 512:556-572.
- pathways. J Comp Neurol 2009, 512:556-572.
  20. Ferland RJ, Cherry TJ, Preware PO, Morrisey EE, Walsh CA: Characterization of Foxp2 and Foxp1 mRNA and protein in the developing and mature brain. J Comp Neurol 2003, 460:266-279.
- Takahashi K, Liu FC, Hirokawa K, Takahashi H: Expression of Foxp2, a gene involved in speech and language, in the developing and adult striatum. J Neurosci Res 2003, 73:61-72.
- 22. Gerfen CR: The neostriatal mosaic: multiple levels of compartmental organization. Trends Neurosci 1992, 15:133-139.
- Foster GA, Schultzberg M, Hokfelt T, Goldstein M, Hemmings HC Jr, Ouimet CC, Walaas SI, Greengard P: Development of a dopamine – and cyclic adenosine 3':5'-monophosphate-regulated phosphoprotein (DARPP-32) in the prenatal rat central nervous system, and its relationship to the arrival of presumptive dopaminergic innervation. J Neurosci 1987, 7:1994-2018.
- 24. Waclaw RR, Wang B, Campbell K: The homeobox gene Gsh2 is required for retinoid production in the embryonic mouse telencephalon. Development 2004, 131:4013-4020.
- Hinds JW: Autoradiographic study of histogenesis in the mouse olfactory bulb. I. Time of origin of neurons and neuroglia. J Comp Neurol 1968, 134:287-304.
- Stenman J, Toresson H, Campbell K: Identification of two distinct progenitor populations in the lateral ganglionic eminence: implications for striatal and olfactory bulb neurogenesis. J Neurosci 2003, 23:167-174.
- 27. Waclaw RR, Allen ZJ 2nd, Bell SM, Erdelyi F, Szabo G, Potter SS, Campbell K: **The zinc finger transcription factor Sp8 regulates**

the generation and diversity of olfactory bulb interneurons. *Neuron* 2006, **49:**503-516.

- Parras CM, Galli R, Britz O, Soares S, Galichet C, Battiste J, Johnson JE, Nakafuku M, Vescovi A, Guillemot F: Mash I specifies neurons and oligodendrocytes in the postnatal brain. EMBO J 2004, 23:4495-4505.
- Long JE, Garel S, Depew MJ, Tobet S, Rubenstein JL: DLX5 regulates development of peripheral and central components of the olfactory system. *J Neurosci* 2003, 23:568-578.
- the olfactory system. J Neurosci 2003, 23:568-578.
  30. Kohwi M, Petryniak MA, Long JE, Ekker M, Obata K, Yanagawa Y, Rubenstein JL, Alvarez-Buylla A: A subpopulation of olfactory bulb GABAergic interneurons is derived from Emx1- and Dlx5/6-expressing progenitors. J Neurosci 2007, 27:6878-6891.
- Merkle FT, Mirzadeh Z, Alvarez-Buylla A: Mosaic organization of neural stem cells in the adult brain. Science 2007, 317:381-384.
- Mason HA, Rakowiecki SM, Raftopoulou M, Nery S, Huang Y, Gridley T, Fishell G: Notch signaling coordinates the patterning of striatal compartments. Development 2005, 132:4247-4258.
- Parrish-Aungst S, Shipley MT, Érdelyi F, Szabo G, Puche AC: Quantitative analysis of neuronal diversity in the mouse olfactory bulb. J Comp Neurol 2007, 501:825-836.
- Kosaka K, Kosaka T: Chemical properties of type I and type 2 periglomerular cells in the mouse olfactory bulb are different from those in the rat olfactory bulb. Brain Res 2007, 1167:42-55.
- 35. De Marchis S, Bovetti S, Carletti B, Hsieh YC, Garzotto D, Peretto P, Fasolo A, Puche AC, Rossi F: Generation of distinct types of periglomerular olfactory bulb interneurons during development and in adult mice: implication for intrinsic properties of the subventricular zone progenitor population. J Neurosci 2007, 27:657-664.
- Batista-Brito R, Close J, Machold R, Fishell G: The distinct temporal origins of olfactory bulb interneuron subtypes. J Neurosci 2008, 28:3966-3975.
- Guillemot F, Lo LC, Johnson JE, Auerbach A, Anderson DJ, Joyner AL: Mammalian achaete-scute homolog I is required for the early development of olfactory and autonomic neurons. *Cell* 1993, 75:463-476.
- Bell SM, Schreiner CM, Waclaw RR, Campbell K, Potter SS, Scott WJ: Sp8 is crucial for limb outgrowth and neuropore closure. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003, 100:12195-12200.
- Matise MP, Auerbach W, Joyner AL: Production of targeted embryonic stem cell clones. In Gene Targeting: A Practical Approach 2nd edition. Edited by: Joyner AL. New York: Oxford Press; 2000:101-131.
- 40. Rodriguez CI, Buchholz F, Galloway J, Sequerra R, Kasper J, Ayala R, Stewart AF, Dymecki SM: **High-efficiency deleter mice show that FLPe is an alternative to Cre-loxP.** *Nat Genet* 2000, **25:**139-140.
- Toresson H, Mata de Urquiza A, Fagerstrom C, Perlmann T, Campbell K: Retinoids are produced by glia in the lateral ganglionic eminence and regulate striatal neuron differentiation. Development 1999, 126:1317-1326.

